Communication from Public

Name:	Ashlye Underwood
Date Submitted:	10/26/2021 07:39 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	I do not support the large zoo expansion but instead support Alternative 1, the “Environmentally Superior Alternative.” This plan allows natural habitat areas to remain intact. The Zoo can still achieve animal care goals and will continue to be a world-class destination zoo, per the EIR. We must be thinking of our cities natural habitat and protecting our local ecosystem, not how to expand tourism. This expansion will destroy natural habitats that are vital to the wildlife of LA county.

Communication from Public

Name: Anna Magnuson
Date Submitted: 10/26/2021 09:20 PM
Council File No: 21-0828
Comments for Public Posting: I am a resident of LA and I frequently visit the zoo with my children. I am APPALLED at the proposal to destroy one of LA's precious wild refuges in order to put in a vineyard and a climbing wall. I bring my children to the zoo to learn about wildlife and conservation. We have plenty of options for theme parks if we want to visit one. It is much more important to me that my kids see wild hawks and owls visiting our neighborhood than to have more tourist attractions near us. Please choose Alternative 1 to improve the zoo habitat while maintaining the wild space and native plants surrounding it.

Communication from Public

Name:	David Irelan
Date Submitted:	10/26/2021 11:15 PM
Council File No:	21-0828
Comments for Public Posting:	Do not allow them to cut down native trees to make way for more unneeded and unwanted attractions. Hello? Climate Change! We need all the trees we have, it's criminal to cut any more down. We do not want this terrible Zoo expansion!